

Simplifying Discourse Analysis for Clinical Use

Jennifer Mozeiko & Katherine Konishesky Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT

INTRODUCTION

- Aphasia batteries lack the sensitivity needed to identify the subtle language deficits in people with mild aphasia (PWMA).
- Analyzing discourse is an effective method of identifying the informativeness and efficiency of language production (Dietz & Boyle, 2017).
 - Discourse analysis is time consuming. It can take up to thirty minutes to transcribe and analyze one minute of discourse.
 - This is not feasible for a busy clinician. (Bryant, Spencer, & Ferguson, 2017).

AIMS

In order to identify a faster, simpler method of discourse analysis, we explore the relationship between verb use and informativeness, efficiency, and Correct Information Unit (CIU) count. Specifically, we investigate whether:

- verb production is associated with more informative discourse
- verb errors are associated with decreased discourse efficiency
- verb production is associated with more CIUs

METHODS

- Language samples obtained from AphasiaBank (MacWhinney, Fromm, Holland, 2011) from participants classified as anomic (n=102) or not aphasic (n=27) on the Western Aphasia Battery (Kertesz, 2006) (Table 1).
- Total verbs used correctly and incorrectly were counted for each transcript.
- Correct Information Units (CIUS; Nicholas & Brookshire, 1993) were calculated for each transcript.
- Greater than 90% intra- and inter-reliability was established between research assistants.
- Strength of associations were determined based on correlation coefficients.
- Simple linear regressions were conducted for variables with significant correlations to determine whether verb use predicted the various discourse measures.

Table One: Group Demographics (Unclassified Aphasia and Anomic Aphasia		
Demographic	Participant Data	
	Unclassified Aphasia	Anomic Aphasia
Total Number		
	27	102
Gender		
% Male	33	58
Age		
Average	61	62
Range	29-81	33-86
Years of Education		
Average	16	16
Range	12-21	12-23
Aphasia Duration		
Average	5	5
Range	1-16	.5-20
Years SLP Tx		
Average	2	2
Range	0.2-8	0-10
WAB AQ		
Average	96	85
Range	93-99	63-94

RESULTS

- There was a significant weak correlation between informativeness and total number of verbs used (r=.016, n= 119, p= .0001) (see Table 2 and Figure 1).
- There was a significant moderate correlation between efficiency and total number of verbs used (r=.457, n= 117, p=.0001) (see Figure 2).
- There was a strong significant relationship between the total number of CIUs and total **number of verbs** used (r= .811, n= 119, p= .0001) (see Figure 3).
- Results of the regression indicated that correct verb use explained 20.7% of the variance in efficiency and total number of verbs explained 65.8% of the variance in total CIUs (see Table 2).

Table Two: Results of Pearson Correlations and Simple Linear Regressions			
Pearson Correlation Coefficient			
Variables	Pearson's R		
Informativeness and Total # of Verbs	0.016*		
Efficiency and Total # of Correct Verbs	0.457*		
Total # of CIUs and Total # of Verbs	0.811*		
Simple Linear Regression			
Variables	\mathbf{R}^2		
Informativeness and Total # of Verbs	х		
Efficiency and Total # of Correct Verbs	0.209*		
Total # of CIUs and Total # of Verbs	0.658*		

Note. Asterik denotes correlations significant at the level of p<.01











DISCUSSION

• The simple tallying of verbs may offer an efficient, practical alternative to analyzing discourse for CIUs.

CIU analysis requires transcription and evaluation of each word as it relates to the sample but counting verbs is something that can be done in "real time" making transcription unnecessary.

Our results suggest that CIU counts can be predicted by total verb use and, by extension, the efficiency and informativeness of discourse. These results may have immediate clinical utility.



For references and more information, contact Jennifer.Mozeiko@uconn.edu